

The National Accelerated Literacy Program in the Northern Territory 2004 to 2008

Implementation and Outcomes Final Evaluation Report

Gary Robinson, Judith Rivalland, William Tyler, Tess Lea, Claire Bartlett,
Perry Morrison, James Cooper, Susan Emmett, Bruce Dunn

School for Social and Policy Research, Institute of Advanced Studies

Charles Darwin University

Published by

School for Social and Policy Research
Institute of Advanced Studies
Charles Darwin University
Casuarina 0909
Northern Territory, Australia
web: www.cdu.edu.au/sspr
email: sspr@cdu.edu.au

© Commonwealth of Australia, 2009

This report is copyright. Apart from fair use for the purpose of private study, research, criticism or review as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part may be reproduced without the express permission of the authors. Enquiries should be directed to the School for Social and Policy Research, Charles Darwin University.

ISBN: 978-0-9806800-0-3

Title for citation

Robinson, G., Rivalland, J., Tyler, W., Lea, T., Bartlett, C., Morrison, P., Cooper, J., Emmett, S., Dunn, B., 2009, *The National Accelerated Literacy Program in the Northern Territory, 2004–2008, Implementation and Outcomes: Final Evaluation Report, Volume 1* Darwin: School for Social and Policy Research, Institute of Advanced Studies, Charles Darwin University.

Contents

Executive Summary	1
Implementation Outcomes: Professional Development of AL Staff	2
Student Outcomes 2005 to 2007	7
Between Program Implementation and Learning Outcomes	11
Major Recommendations	13
1. Introduction: Aims and Context of the NALP Evaluation	15
Indigenous Literacy in the NT Context	15
Background of NALP	15
Evaluation Framework.....	18
Accelerated Literacy in the NT.....	24
Overview of Program Implementation in the NT	31
NALP Assessment Procedures	41
2. Findings of Focus Group Interviews, Survey and Observational Study	45
1. Focus Group Interviews.....	46
Focus Group Findings: AL Methodology.....	48
Focus Group Findings: Implementation and System Supports.....	52
2. Survey	58
Survey Findings	62
3. Observational Study.....	75
Observational Study Findings.....	81
Conclusions: The Effectiveness of the NALP Implementation Strategy.....	93
3. System-wide Outcomes of NALP in the NT 2004 to 2007	99
General Objectives of Quantitative Analysis of Student Outcomes	99
Operational Hypotheses	100
Research Design.....	101
Description of Main Variables.....	102

Analytical Procedure.....	103
Description: Data, Outcomes and their Distributions	104
Comparing Mean Progress Rates: Effects of Indigenous Status and Region	107
Predicting Accelerated Literacy under NALP: Multivariate Approaches	110
Within Levels: Results of Regression Analysis.....	110
Across Levels: Defining the Fault Lines	112
Splitting Differences: A Segmentation Analysis	114
Modelling School Effects: A Multilevel Analysis.....	115
Conclusions: Three Hypotheses Reconsidered.....	121
Summary of Conclusions	126
4. Conclusions: Some implications of the major findings.....	129
Questions Arising from Major Findings	130
References	135

Figures

Table 1: Characteristics of samples for IL and ToRCH assessments	8
Figure 1: Change mechanisms in the implementation of AL	19
Figure 2: The evaluation process	23
Figure 3: The AL teaching sequence	27
Table 2: Phases of implementation and key activities (NT DEET, 2006b, 2007; NT DET 2008)	34
Table 3: DET AL coordinator roles and responsibilities (NT DEET 2006b, 2007; NT DET 2008)	36
Table 4: ALSBC roles, responsibilities and expected PD (NT DEET 2006b, 2007; NT DET 2008)	37
Table 5: Expected teacher participation in PD for each phase of implementation (NT DEET 2006b, n.p., 2007; NT DET 2008).....	38
Table 6: Schedule of assessments (NALP 2007a)	44
Figure 4: Example teacher focus group interview questions	47
Figure 5: Number of respondents to survey.....	58
Figure 6: Survey responses by location of schools.....	59
Figure 7: Example teacher questionnaire.....	60
Figure 8: Teaching experience of respondents	62
Figure 9: Years of AL teaching experience of respondents.....	62
Figure 10: Time at present school.....	63
Table 7: Years of experience and AL PD and support received.....	63
Table 8: Years of experience and AL PD and support received by location of school	64
Table 9: PD workshops attended by location of school.....	64
Table 10: Proportions of teachers, ALSBCs and principals attending PD workshops	65
Figure 11: Proportions of teachers, ALSBCs and principals surveyed attending PD workshops	66
Figure 12: Average number of PDs attended by teachers, ALSBCs and principals...	66
Figure 13: Perceived level of support from school principals	67
Figure 14: Perceived level of support by ALSBC	68
Figure 15: Perceived level of support by DET AL coordinator.....	68
Figure 16: AL PD and in-school PD support have given me the skills and knowledge to confidently teach each stage of the teaching sequence.....	69
Figure 17: Agreement with six AL methodology/implementation statements	70
Figure 18: Agreement with assessment processes and procedures.....	71
Figure 19: Mean item responses for all questionnaire subscales – collapsed across teachers, coordinators and principals (2.0 = neutral, 3.0 = agree).....	72
Figure 20: Mean item response comparable subscales by respondent type.....	73
Figure 21: Mean item agreement by total hours of all PD support, teachers and ALSBCs.....	74
Figure 22: Example of ALSSOR	77
Figure 23: Example of TPOR	78
Table 11: Characteristics of observed teachers.....	81

Figure 24: Qualifications of teachers observed	82
Figure 25: Mean scores, 9 ALSSOR scales	83
Figure 26: Confidence intervals, whole lesson interaction subscale items.....	85
Figure 27: Mean item scores, TPOR scales (0 = never, 1 = rarely, 2 = sometimes, 3 = often).....	86
Figure 28: Mean item scores for TPOR subscale, differentiation (1 = rarely; 2 = sometimes).....	87
Figure 29: Comparison of ALSSOR and TPOR total scores.....	88
Figure 30: Mean item response, all ALSSOR scales by total hours of PD support (criterion = 2.0).....	89
Figure 31: Mean item response on ALSSOR subscales (excluding writing) by total PD support	90
Figure 31: Error bar comparisons 95% CI, mean ALSSOR and TPOR item scores and total hours of PD support.....	91
Table 12: Factor analysis of survey and observational data, 68 teachers	92
Table 13: Multilevel research design: evaluating student progress in NALP	101
Figure 33: Bar chart distribution of IL student-level progress scores in years (2005– 2007)	105
Table 14: IL sample – school cluster by ARIA region (NT DET schools only)	106
Table 15: ToRCH sample – school cluster by ARIA region of school (NT DET schools only).....	106
Figure 34: Bar chart distribution of ToRCH student progress scores in years (2005– 2007)	107
Figure 35: Error bar comparison of means of accelerated progress for IL (95% CI) by Indigeneity of student and remoteness of school.....	108
Figure 36: Error bar comparison of means of accelerated progress for ToRCH (95% CI) by Indigeneity of student and remoteness of school	108
Figure 37: Bar chart of IL progress band by region and Indigenous status groupings (frequencies)	109
Table 16: Summary of within-level effects on accelerated progress IL and ToRCH, 2005–2007	111
Table 17: Multivariate prediction of accelerated progress – cross-level effects	114
Table 18: IL segmentation analysis predicting accelerated progress.....	115
Table 19: Results of mixed-effects model predicting accelerated progress, 2005–2007	117
Figure 38: 95% CI Error bar comparison of proportions showing accelerated progress (0-1.00), very remote and Indigenous students and all others, IL sample...	118

Acknowledgements

Thanks are due to the members of the SSPR evaluation team who participated in training and spent days in the field conducting research in NT schools; to the NALP team at SSPR and to Professor William Loudon at UWA, who provided the benefit of their expertise to the evaluation team; to the principals, staff, AL teachers and coordinators at all schools visited; and to the staff of the NT DET implementation team. Without their support, the outcomes of this project could not have been achieved. Thanks are also due to the sponsors of the program at CDU and in NT DET, to the Vice Chancellor of CDU, and to the CEO and senior managers of NT DET whose policy support has enabled this evaluation of NALP in the NT.

Funding for this evaluation was provided by NT DET within the framework of its partnership with CDU for the development, delivery and evaluation of the National Accelerated Literacy Program.

Ethics approval: H05073

Outline of Evaluation Team Roles

Although both are within the School for Social and Policy Research, the evaluation team maintained a functional independence from the NALP team responsible for development of program and resources and delivery of PD. The NALP team and its experts were extensively consulted in development of the methodology for classroom observations and survey.

Evaluation Team

Robinson, G.	Project leader, research design and analysis, senior investigator
Rivalland, J.	Senior investigator, design, and analysis of qualitative investigation
Tyler, W.	Senior Investigator, design and analysis, multilevel study of system-wide outcomes
Lea, T.	Program sponsor, NALP at CDU, senior investigator
Bartlett, C.	Evaluation project and field research coordinator, design and analysis of observations, focus groups and survey
Morrison, P.	Data analysis, survey and observational strategy
Emmett, S.,	Field researcher, observations and focus groups
Cooper, J.	Field researcher and research assistance
Dunn, B.	Senior data manager and analyst, SSPR & NT DET

Glossary

ACER	Australian Council for Educational Research
AL	Accelerated Literacy Methodology
ALIAS	Accelerated Literacy Information Analysis System
ALSBC	Accelerated Literacy School-based Coordinator
ALSSOR	Accelerated Literacy Sequence Strategy Observation Record
ALSWC	Accelerated Literacy System Wide Coordinator
AT	Assistant Teacher
CDU	Charles Darwin University
CEC	Community Education Centre
CLOS	Classroom Literacy Observation Schedule
ESL	English as a Second Language
DEET	Department of Employment, Education and Training, Northern Territory (see also DET)
DEST	Department of Education, Science and Training, Australian Government
DET	Department of Education and Training, Northern Territory (see also DEET)
GCAL	Graduate Certificate in Accelerated Literacy
HOLO	High Order Literate Orientation
IESIP	Indigenous Education Strategic Initiatives Programme
IL	Individual Level
ITA	Indigenous Teacher Assistant
ITAS	Indigenous Tutorial Assistant Support
LLANS	Longitudinal Literacy and Numeracy Survey
LOLO	Low Order Literate Orientation
MAP	Multilevel Assessment Program

NALP	National Accelerated Literacy Program
NESB	Non-English Speaking Background
NT	Northern Territory
NTCF	Northern Territory Curriculum Framework
NTDE	Northern Territory Department of Education
PD	Professional Development
PLT	Professional Learning Team
SAMS	Student Administration and Management System
SSPR	School of Social and Policy Research, CDU
TORCH	Tests of Reading Comprehension
TPOR	Teaching Practices Observation Record
UPN	Unique Personal Identifier
WL	Working Level
ZAD	Zone of Actual Development
ZPD	Zone of Proximal Development